

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,509	09/23/2003	Daisuke Shimizu	031197	2580	
23850	7590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRON	ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
1725 K STRE	ET, NW			D. DED MIN (DED	
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006		2817		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ode
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/667,509	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INCORP.	Seungsook Ham	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	• •	i
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/a	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat*</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A  Ority documents have beer  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗔 Intonioni	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/02/04.</li> </ul>	Paper No	summary (P10-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 12, "the surface" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art or Tada et al. (US '555) in view of Hiroshima et al. (US '767).

recited in claim 1 reads on the non-electrode portion 8 in figure 7.

The Applicant's admitted prior art (fig. 7) discloses the same dielectric filter except providing a groove on the open end face of the dielectric block and in conduction with the outer conductor. It should be noted that "a dielectric block exposing portion" as

Page 3

Tada et al. (fig. 10) also discloses a dielectric filter comprising: a dielectric block having a plurality of resonator/through bores; each through bore has large-diameter and small-diameter portions; input/output electrodes disposed on an outer surface of the block and separated from the outer conductor; each through bore is covered with an inner conductor. Tada et al. also does not show a groove on the open end face of the dielectric block and in conduction with the outer conductor.

Hiroshima et al. (fig. 8) discloses a dielectric filter having a groove disposed between two adjacent resonators and connected with an outer conductor to provide inductive coupling.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a groove at the open end face between the resonators and connect to the outer conductor (thereby providing an inductive coupling) in the device of Applicant's admitted prior art or Tada et al. to suppress an unwanted mode or frequency response as taught by Hiroshima et al. (col. 6, lines 24-47).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant's Admitted Prior Art or Tada et al. (US '555) in view of Ishikawa et al. (US '208).

The Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (fig. 7) and Tada et al. are applied as above. Ishikawa et al. (fig. 17) discloses a dielectric filter having a groove disposed between the stepped resonator holes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a groove at the open end face between the resonators and connect to the outer conductor in the device of Applicant's admitted prior art or Tada et al. to adjust the coupling between the resonator in order to obtain a desire frequency response as taught by Ishikawa et al. (col. 1, line 63 – col. 2, line 21 and col. 8, lines 38-47).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tada et al. (US '082) discloses a dielectric filter having a groove disposed between the resonators to provide an inductive coupling (fig. 4A); and

Sokola (US '193) discloses a dielectric filter having a dielectric block exposing portion between the input/output electrodes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,509 Page 5

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsøok Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh